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28.1 Introduction

This chapter covers the feedback comments received during the phase two consultation regarding Jews Row. At phase one consultation, Jews Row was identified as our preferred site to connect the Jews Row – Wandle Valley Storm Relief CSO and the Jews Row – Falconbrook Storm Relief CSO to the main tunnel. Since phase one consultation, further modelling of future overflows incorporating recent improvements to the existing sewer system has established that these changes are sufficient to address sewage spills in this location. Therefore, Jews Row was not given further consideration as part of phase two consultation, although information relating to the change in proposals for the site was made available. For further information regarding the proposals for this site at phase two consultation, refer to the Jews Row site information paper.

Structure of this chapter

28.1.2 This chapter is organised as listed below, which reflects the structure of the phase two consultation feedback form.

- section 28.2 - Number of respondents
- section 28.3 - Supportive and neutral feedback comments
- section 28.4 - Objections, issues and concerns
- section 28.5 - Our view of the way forward.

28.1.3 As we do not propose to use this site for our construction works we have not provided outcomes in response to objections, issues and concerns that have been raised.

28.2 Number of respondents

28.2.1 A total of seven respondents provided feedback comments on Jews Row, of which three were received after the close of phase two consultation. Table 28.2.1 sets out the different groups who provided feedback for this site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statutory consultees</th>
<th>Local authorities</th>
<th>Landowners</th>
<th>Community consultees</th>
<th>Petitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 respondent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Greater London Authority (GLA)</td>
<td>0 respondents</td>
<td>0 respondents</td>
<td>6 respondents</td>
<td>0 petitions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28.2.2 Feedback on Jews Row was received in a number of forms, including feedback forms and correspondence (emails and letters).

28.3 Supportive and neutral feedback comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Supportive and neutral comments</th>
<th>Respondent ID</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Our response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.3.1</td>
<td>Support for changes to proposed works since phase one consultation.</td>
<td>LR9154</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Your support is noted and welcomed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.3.2</td>
<td>The removal of this site is supported as the site currently contains an operational safeguarded wharf which imports construction materials and would have</td>
<td>GLA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### 28.3.3 Support for short-term construction works.

- **Ref:** 28.3.3  
- **Supportive and neutral comments:** Support for short-term construction works.  
- **Respondent ID:** 8014  
- **No.:** 1  
- **Our response:**

As set out in the Jews Row site information paper, we no longer require a site at Jews Row to connect the existing local CSOs, known as the Jews Row – Wandle Valley Storm Relief CSO and the Jews Row – Falconbrook Storm Relief CSO, to the main tunnel. Since phase one consultation, we have updated our model that predicts future overflows from CSOs into the River Thames with the results of detailed surveys of the existing sewer connections. Modifications to the existing sewer system have also been undertaken and the improvements incorporated into the model. Further modelling has established that these changes are sufficient to address the sewage spills at this location. As a result, no works are currently proposed.

#### 28.3.4 It would be a suitable site.

- **Ref:** 28.3.4  
- **Supportive and neutral comments:** It would be a suitable site.  
- **Respondent ID:** LR9275  
- **No.:** 1  
- **Our response:**

We can confirm that no development is proposed at Jews Row as part of the Thames Tunnel project.

#### 28.3.5 Not aware of proposals at Jews Row.

- **Ref:** 28.3.5  
- **Supportive and neutral comments:** Not aware of proposals at Jews Row.  
- **Respondent ID:** 7702  
- **No.:** 1  
- **Our response:**

We can confirm that no development is proposed at Jews Row as part of the Thames Tunnel project.

#### 28.4 Objections, issues and concerns

**Table 28.4.1 Objections, issues and concerns relating in relation to Jews Row**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Objections, issues and concerns</th>
<th>Respondent ID</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Our response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.4.1</td>
<td>Cumulative impact of works associated with the Thames Tunnel project.</td>
<td>8047</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>As set out in the Jews Row site information paper, we no longer require a site at Jews Row to connect the existing local CSOs, known as the Jews Row – Wandle Valley Storm Relief CSO and the Jews Row – Falconbrook Storm Relief CSO, to the main tunnel. Since phase one consultation, we have updated our model which predicts future overflows from CSOs into the River Thames with the results of detailed surveys of the existing sewer connections. Modifications to the existing sewer system have also been undertaken and the improvements incorporated into the model. Further modelling has established that these changes are sufficient to address the sewage spills at this location. As a result, no works are currently proposed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.4.2</td>
<td>Cumulative impact on traffic congestion.</td>
<td>8047</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.4.3</td>
<td>Oppose use of this site.</td>
<td>LR13474</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.4.4</td>
<td>Thames Water should confirm whether any sewage spills would still occur at this site once the tunnel is complete.</td>
<td>GLA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 28.5 Our view of the way forward

**28.5.1** We can confirm that we no longer propose to undertake any works at Jews Row as part of the Thames Tunnel project.